

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,387		01/17/2002	Jian Ling Ding	17644-68	1539
33717	7590	01/06/2005		EXAM	INER
		AURIG LLP	GHALI, I	GHALI, ISIS A D	
	2450 COLORADO AVENUE, SUITE 400E SANTA MONICA, CA 90404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·			1615	

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/053,387	DING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Isis Ghali	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 bd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	October 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.	•				
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-38 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3,5-8,10,13 and 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 9, 11, 12, 14-17, 32-38 is/are rejection. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	18-31 is/are withdrawn from cor	sideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appli iority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The receipt is acknowledged of applicants' amendment filed 10/01/2004.

Claim 4 has been canceled, and claims 32-38 have been added. Claims 2, 3, 5-8, 10, 13, 18-31 have been withdrawn.

Claims 1, 9, 11, 12, 14-17, and 32-38 are included in the prosecution.

1. This application contains claims drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the response filed 03/12/2004. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. Claims 1, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 32-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berg in view of Cilento.
- 3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berg in view of Cilento and further in view of Chen.

The rejections have been discussed in details in the previous office action and are maintained for reasons of record.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/01/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants traverse the rejection of the claims over Berg in view of Cilento and further in view of Chen by arguing that Berg does not teach the ratio of propylene to ethylene in EPDM. Berg does not teach EPDM in the layer in contact to the skin. Cilento teaches substituting PIB by butyl rubber. No motivation to modify or combine the references.

In response to applicants' argument regarding the claimed ratios, the examiner position is that discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., EPDM is not in the skin contact layer) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by

Application/Control Number: 10/053,387

Art Unit: 1615

combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the teaching of Cilento of the advantages of the wound dressing containing butyl rubber would had motivate one having skill in the art to add this ingredient to the dressing of Berg. Note that PIB is taught by Berg, and Cilento is relied upon for teaching additionally adding the rubber.

In considering the disclosure of the reference, it is proper to take into account not only the specific teachings of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom. *In re Preda*, 401 F.2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342, 344 (CCPA 1968). The rational to modify or to combine the prior art does not have to be expressly stated in the prior art; the rational may be expressly or impliedly contained in the prior art or it may be reasoned from knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. The reason or motivation to modify the reference may often suggest what the inventor has done, but for a different purpose or to solve different problem. It is not necessary that the prior art suggest the combination or modification to achieve the same advantage or result discovered by applicant. *In re Linter*, 458 F.2d 1013, 173 USPQ 560 (CCPA 1972).

Application/Control Number: 10/053,387 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isis Ghali whose telephone number is (571) 272-0595. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,387 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isis Ghali Examiner Art Unit 1615

IG

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATERIF EXAMINEB
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600